P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype

Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

MCMC II Lecture 5.1: MCMC for Two-level mixing models

P.D. O'Neill

University of Nottingham, UK

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Outline

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

mixing models P.D. O'Neill

MCMC II Lecture 5.1:

MCMC for

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

1 Overview

2 Structured population models

- 3 Data and inference
- 4 Representing the contact process
- 5 Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy



Overview

mixing models P.D. O'Neill

MCMC II Lecture 5.1:

MCMC for

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments Set-up An epidemic outbreak occurs in a population.

We observe a **random sample** of the population, and we know

- The number of cases in the sample
- The structure of the sample population (e.g. households)
- Covariate information (e.g. age, vaccine status, ...)

Problem What can we say about the rate of spread of infection?

Data: example

mixing models P.D. O'Neill

MCMC II Lecture 5.1:

MCMC for Two-level

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representin the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comment Data on influenza outbreak in Tecumseh, Michigan, winter 1980-81. Susceptibles per household

	Susceptibles per nouschold						
No. infected	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	44	62	47	38	9	3	2
1	10	13	8	11	5	3	0
2		9	2	7	3	0	0
3			3	5	1	0	0
4				1	0	0	0
5					1	0	0
6						0	0
7							0
Total	54	84	60	62	19	6	2

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Overview...

▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼▲□▼ □ ● ●

Our approach is to use a **stochastic epidemic model** that incorporates a **structured population**.

The methodological challenge in model-fitting is that the likelihood of the observed data is intractable.

Our solution is to use various **data imputation methods** within MCMC.

The methods also provide additional inferential information.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype

models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Structured populations

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- Motivation: real-life populations rarely mix homogeneously.
- Instead there is frequently some inherent structure.
- Examples include:
 - Animals kept in pens
 - A town with homes, schools, workplaces
 - Animals inhabiting specific habitats

Models

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

mixing models P.D. O'Neill

MCMC II Lecture 5.1:

MCMC for

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine

Final Comments

- We may reasonably suppose that population structure influences disease transmission.
- In particular it is sensible to allow **rates** of transmission between individuals to depend on the common structures (if any) that they occupy.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Two-level mixing household model

Ball, Mollison and Scalia-Tomba, Annals of Applied Probability, 1997

Population of N individuals partitioned into households.

Households may be different sizes.

Initially, all individuals susceptible except for a few infectives.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

At any time point, each individual is either

- susceptible
- infective
- recovered and immune

Epidemic ends when there are no more infectives.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models

Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Two-level mixing household model: infectious periods

An infectious individual remains so for a time T_I .

 T_I is a specified non-negative random variable.

The T_I 's for different individuals are usually i.i.d.

At the end of infectious period, individual becomes immune.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Two-level mixing household model: transmission

Whilst infectious, an individual has per-individual contacts....

-with household members at rate λ_L ;
-with all individuals at rate λ_G/N .

i.e. contacts occur at times given by points of a Poisson process

All contact processes are independent.

Each such contact with a susceptible causes the susceptible to become infective immediately.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype

models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments



・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models

Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Two-level mixing household model: comments

• No latent periods -

but including them does not affect final outcome.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

• Can make the model multi-type; 'type' may refer to age, vaccination status, ...

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comment

Two-level mixing household model: threshold

Consider a branching process in which 'individuals = households'

Each individual has mean offspring $E[T]\lambda_G$, where T= number infected in a typical household.

Then $R_* = E[T]\lambda_G$ is a threshold parameter for the branching process as

number of households $\rightarrow \infty$,

i.e. process may explode if and only if $R_* > 1$.

Data: overview

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

We consider data consisting of

- knowledge of the population structure
- final outcome (ever infected, or not) for each individual
- any 'type' information (for multi-type model)
- initially assume we observe entire population

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype

models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

2-level mixing model: data

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representin the contact process

Applications Multitype models Sample observer Mumps vaccine

efficacy

Comments

Data: single-type example

Data on influenza outbreak in Tecumseh, Michigan, winter 1980-81.

	Susceptibles per nousenoid						
No. infected	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
0	44	62	47	38	9	3	2
1	10	13	8	11	5	3	0
2		9	2	7	3	0	0
3			3	5	1	0	0
4				1	0	0	0
5					1	0	0
6						0	0
7							0
Total	54	84	60	62	19	6	2

Inference: overview

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

P.D. O'Neill

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine

Final Comments We wish to infer information about the infection rate parameters λ_L and λ_G given the data (x, say).

In the Bayesian framework this means we focus on

 $\pi(\lambda_L,\lambda_G|x)\propto\pi(x|\lambda_L,\lambda_G)\pi(\lambda_L,\lambda_G)$

ng models

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype

models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Inference: the problem

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Unfortunately, the likelihood

 $\pi(\boldsymbol{x}|\boldsymbol{\lambda}_L,\boldsymbol{\lambda}_G)$

is analytically and numerically intractable in all but very simple cases.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Inference: a solution

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

One way around the problem is to impute an (unobserved) description of the process of infectious contacts, i.e. who each person would infect if they themselves were infected.

Note that the contact processes of different individuals are independent.

Note also that it is only necessary to consider the contact processes of individuals who ever become infected (which the data tell us).

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype

models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Inference: a solution

Call the imputed contact process information *G*. Then $\pi(\lambda_L, \lambda_G, G | x) \propto \pi(x | G) \pi(G | \lambda_L, \lambda_G) \pi(\lambda_L, \lambda_G)$

and in particular

- $\pi(x|G)$ is just 1 or 0 (if G does/does not agree with data)
- $\pi(G|\lambda_L, \lambda_G)$ has a simple product form

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

MCMC algorithm

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Sample-based inference can then be performed using an MCMC algorithm.

The parameters of interest in the algorithm are

- local infection rate λ_L
- global infection rate λ_G
- representation of the contact process G

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Random graph representation

Joint work with Nikos Demiris, MRC, Cambridge

Demiris and O'Neill, J. Roy. Stat. Soc. Series B, 2005

Consider a single infective (i) and a single susceptible (j) within a household.

Recall that *i* contacts *j* at the points of a Poisson process of rate λ_L whilst infectious, i.e. for a time $T_L^{(i)}$, say.

Thus,

$$P(i \text{ infects } j | T_I^{(i)}) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda_L T_I^{(i)}).$$

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Random graph representation

Conditional upon the value of $T_I^{(i)}$, all of *i*'s household members have the same probability

 $1 - \exp(-\lambda_L T_I^{(i)})$

of being contacted by i, and all such contacts are independent.

Furthermore, the contact processes of different individuals are independent.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Random graph representation

Thus the (local) contact process can be represented by a random graph in which an edge from one individual=vertex (i) to another (j) has probability

$$p_L^{(i)} = 1 - \exp(-\lambda_L T_I^{(i)})$$

Suppose *i* has $m^{(i)}$ ever-infected household members. Then the probability that *i* contacts a specified set of $n_i^{(i)}$ of them is

$$(p_L^{(i)})^{n_L^{(i)}}(1-p_L^{(i)})^{m^{(i)}-n_L^{(i)}}$$

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Random graph representation

The global contact process is similar, yielding between-individual edge probabilities

$$p_G^{(i)} = 1 - \exp(-\lambda_G T_I^{(i)}/N)$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Note that global contacts may occur between any two members of the population.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Random graph representation

Call the ever-infected population A (n individuals), and the never-infected population C (N - n individuals). Then

$$\pi(G|\lambda_L,\lambda_G) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (p_L^{(i)})^{n_L^{(i)}} (1-p_L^{(i)})^{m^{(i)}-n_L^{(i)}} (p_G^{(i)})^{n_G^{(i)}} (1-p_G^{(i)})^{N-n_G^{(i)}}$$

 $\times P(A \text{ does not infect } C)$

where

$$p_L^{(i)} = 1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\mathcal{T}_l^{(i)}\lambda_L}$$
 etc,

 $n_L^{(i)} =$ number of edges that *i* has in *G*,

 $m^{(i)}$ = number of infected individuals in *i*'s household.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype

models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Random graph representation

Furthermore,

 $P(A \text{ does not infect } C) = e^{-[\lambda_G T_A(N-n)/N] - \lambda_L T_C},$

where

$$T_{A} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{I}^{(i)},$$
$$T_{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_{I}^{(i)} c^{(i)},$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

and $c^{(i)}$ is the number of never-infected individuals in *i*'s household.

7

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype

models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Random graph representation



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

MCMC implementation

The parameters λ_L and λ_G can be updated by (e.g.) a Metropolis random walk with Gaussian proposals.

The graph G can be updated by adding and deleting edges at random.

The acceptance probabilities are easily evaluated.

Checking that G agrees with the data, i.e. G is appropriately connected, is computationally costly.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Poisson representation

O'Neill, Biostatistics, 2009

Recall that individual *i* contacts each of their $m^{(i)}$ ever-infected household members at rate λ_L .

Equivalently, *i* has local contacts at rate $m^{(i)}\lambda_L$, and each contact is chosen uniformly at random from the $m^{(i)}$ individuals. Note that the contacts may be repeated.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Poisson representation

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Thus if an individual *i* has $x_L^{(i)}$ specified local contacts, the contribution to the likelihood is

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda}\lambda^{\mathbf{x}_{L}^{(i)}}}{\mathbf{x}_{L}^{(i)}!}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{m}^{(i)}}\right)^{\mathbf{x}_{L}^{(i)}}$$

where

$$\lambda = \lambda_L T_I^{(i)} m^{(i)}$$

Global contacts are similar.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

and Applications Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Poisson representation

Thus

where

$$\pi(G|\lambda_L, \lambda_G) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda_L(i)}\lambda_L(i)^{x_L^{(i)}}}{x_L^{(i)}!}\right) \left(\frac{1}{m^{(i)}}\right)^{x_L^{(i)}}$$
$$\times \left(\frac{e^{-n\lambda_G/N}(n\lambda_G/N)^{x_G^{(i)}}}{x_G^{(i)}!}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{x_G^{(i)}}$$
$$\times P(A \text{ does not infect } C)$$

$$\lambda_L(i) = \lambda_L T_I^{(i)} m^{(i)}.$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆豆> ◆豆> ・豆 ・ 釣べ⊙

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

MCMC implementation

Under the Poisson representation it is possible to update all parameters according to Gibbs steps - other than checking connectivity.

Number of contacts of an individual is Poisson.

The list of contacts is just i.i.d. uniform draws.

The parameters λ_L and λ_G can be updated via their full conditional Gamma distributions (assuming conjugate priors).

As before, the main computational cost is checking that the list of contacts agrees with the data.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <



P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models

Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Tecumseh data: *R*_{*} posterior density



P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype

models Sample observe Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comment

Tecumseh data: summaries

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

			Parameter	
		λ_L	λ_{G}	R_*
1	Mean	0.048	0.190	1.24
	Median	0.047	0.190	1.22
	S. dev.	0.010	0.024	0.20
ing t	95% CI	(0.030,0.070) (0.15,0.24)	(0.90,1.66)
5		Parar	neter	
ns		local links	global links	
erved	Mean	48.8	98.9	
cine	Median	49	99	
	S. dev.	5.81	4.73	
5	95% CI	(37,60)	(91,109)	

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Multitype models

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Suppose the population contains individuals of k different observable types.

These typically correspond to covariates such as age, vaccination status, etc.

Infectious periods for different types may be different.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Multitype models

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Infection-rates between types i, j are denoted

- λ_{ij}^L (Local)
- λ_{ij}^{G} (Global).

Define matrices

•
$$\Lambda^L = (\lambda^L_{ij})$$

• $\Lambda^G = (\lambda^G_{ij})$

Model has $2k^2$ infection-rate parameters.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

MCMC for multitype case

- Random graph representation edges from individual *i* to type *j* individuals;
- Poisson representation type *i* individual has Poisson $(T_i^{(i)} \lambda_{ij}^L)$ local contacts with each type *j* individual in household, etc.
- Likelihoods generalise easily.
- Updates are similar to single-type case.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Example - Tecumseh age data

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Haber, Longini and Cotsonis, Biometrics, 1988

Adults and children (2 types).

289 households of sizes 1 - 5.

62 out of 491 adults infected.

63 out of 180 children infected.

So 125 infected individuals out of 671.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Observing a sample of the population

In reality we rarely observe the entire population.

In order to accomodate this, suppose that

- A is the observed infected population
- *B* is the unobserved population
- C is the observed uninfected population

Note that the data tell us about A and C.

We must assume something about population structure of B.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Observing a sample of the population

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Demiris and O'Neill, Scandinavian Journal of Statistics, 2005

One approach to inference is to use an **approximation** for what happens in the unobserved B population.

Specifically, if B is large, then epidemic limit theorems can be used to approximate how much infection B is responsible for.

But can we proceed without using any approximation?

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models

Sample observed Mumps vaccine

efficacy

Final Comments

Observing a sample of the population

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

O'Neill, Biostatistics, 2009

Methods can proceed as before:

- Construct graph/contact list on A and B only
- Explicitly include all individuals and contacts in B
- Checking connectivity is slower

2-level mixing model: sample observed



- 日本 - 4 日本 - 4 日本 - 日本

MCMC II Lecture 5.1: MCMC for Two-level mixing models

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representin the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models

Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Likelihood

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

mixing models P.D. O'Neill

MCMC II Lecture 5.1:

MCMC for

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments As before, $\pi(G|\Lambda^L, \Lambda^G)$ is a product, over either

- 1. All individuals in A and B
- 2. All of A and those (currently) ever-infected in B

Although 2 is neater, updating graph G can alter likelihood dramatically and so acceptance probabilities are less straightforward to compute.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications

Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Mumps vaccine efficacy

van Boven et al., 2013

Data on Mumps outbreaks in 10 primary schools in the Netherlands.

Data involve final numbers infected.

Some children are vaccinated.

Aim: Estimate the vaccine efficacy.

Methods: vacc/unvacc individuals are two "types" of individuals and efficacy parameter affects transmission rate.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Table 1. Summary statistics of the study population.

	number of persons	number	vaccination coverage	attack ra unvaccin	te in ated persons	attack r vaccina	ate in ted persons	overall attack rate
all schools	2493	510-1342	0.62*	0.68	(485/709)	0.03	(25/952)	0.31
school 1	432	205-369	0.12	0.86	(204/237)	0.03	(1/31)	0.76
school 2	338	135-289	0.13	0.82	(131/160)	0.17	(4/24)	0.73
school 3	259	68-159	0.42	0.72	(68/94)	0	(0/74)	0.40
school 4	184	40-70	0.54	0.53	(37/70)	0.04	(3/84)	0.26
school 5	130	13-33	0.75	0.46	(13/28)	0	(0/82)	0.12
school 6	263	28-171	0.76	0.70	(19/27)	0.10	(9/93)	0.23
school 7	194	6-43	0.78	0.19	(6/31)	0	(0/126)	0.04
school 8	227	3-27	0.79	0.05	(2/41)	0.01	(1/162)	0.01
school 9	258	6-119	0.93	0.18	(2/11)	0.03	(4/134)	0.04
school 10	208	6-62	0.93	0.30	(3/10)	0.02	(3/142)	0.04

The column 'number infected' shows the possible range of actual infections, ranging from the number known to be infected to the sum of this number and the number of persons with unknown infection status. Vaccination coverages, and attack rates are actualized using persons with known vaccination status (vaccination coverage), in "averaged over schools."

doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003061.t001

van Boven M, Ruijs WLM, Wallinga J, O'Neill PD, Hahné S (2013) Estimation of Vaccine Efficacy and Critical Vaccination Coverage in Partially Observed Outbreaks. PLoS Comput Biol 9(5): e1003061. doi:10.1371/journal.pbi.1003061 http://27.0.0.13081/folsecomobio/article?id=http://div.org/1003061



P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models Sample observed

Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Figure 1. Posterior distributions of the basic reproduction number and vaccine efficacy (A) and vaccination coverages and attack rates (B) when assuming common parameters across schools (baseline scenario).



van Boven M, Ruijs WLM, Wallinga J, O'Neill PD, Hahné S (2013) Estimation of Vaccine Efficacy and Critical Vaccination Coverage in Partially Observed Outbreaks. PLoS Comput Biol 9(5): e1003061 : h0:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003061 http://z12.0.1.3081/jolacsomobildaritice?id=init=hotdoi/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003061



э

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models

Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

Generations

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

1. Generations representation

White, PhD thesis (Nottingham), 2010

Yet another approach to data augmentation is given by the idea of "generations".

Specifically, instead of keeping track of the whole graph G, we instead keep track of the numbers infected in each generation of infection.

This works well for simple models but for two-level mixing becomes less useful.

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Applications Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

2. Data augmentation

In general, data augmentation is a very powerful and probably under-utilised method of constructing and improving MCMC algorithms.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

P.D. O'Neill

Overview

Structured population models

Data and inference

Representing the contact process

Extensions and Application

Multitype models Sample observed Mumps vaccine efficacy

Final Comments

References

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Ball, F.G., Mollison D. and Scalia-Tomba, G-P (1997) Epidemics with two levels of mixing. Annals of Applied Probability 7, 46–89.

van Boven, M., Ruijs, W.L., Wallinga, J., O'Neill, P. D. and Hahne, S. (2013) Estimation of mumps vaccine efficacy and critical vaccination coverage. Public Library of Science Computational Biology 9(5): e1003061.

Demiris, N. and O'Neill, P. D. (2005) Bayesian inference for stochastic epidemic models with two levels of mixing. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics* 32, 265–280.

Demiris, N. and O'Neill, P. D. (2005) Bayesian inference for stochastic multitype epidemics in structured populations via random graphs. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B* 67, 731-746.

Haber, M., Longini, I. M. Jr. and Cotsonis, G. A. (1988) Models for the statistical analysis of infectious disease data. *Biometrics* 44 (1), 163–73

O'Neill, P.D. (2009) Bayesian inference for stochastic multitype epidemics in structured populations using sample data. *Biostatistics* 10(4), 779–791.

White, S. R. (2010) Stochastic epidemics conditioned on their final outcome. PhD Thesis, University of Nottingham.