

Clinical and Epidemiological Virology, Rega Institute, Department of Microbiology and Immunology KU Leuven, Belgium.



# Estimating evolutionary rates and divergence times....

...and a bit of model testing

Philippe Lemey<sup>1</sup> and Marc Suchard<sup>2</sup>

- 1.Rega Institute, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, K.U. Leuven, Belgium.
- 2.Departments of Biomathematics and Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA. Department of Biostatistics, UCLA School of Public Health

SISMID, July 19-21, 2017







# A constant evolutionary rate through time

 to obtain a timed phylogeny, the evolutionary model must assume a relationship between the accumulation of genetic diversity and time



• Zuckerkandl and Pauling (1962): the rate of amino acid replacements in animal haemoglobins was roughly proportional to real time, as judged against the fossil record







# And there is no global molecular clock

- different genes, different profiles
- variation in mutation rate?
- variation in selection

genes coding for some molecules under very strong stabilizing selection





















# Estimating the time-scale

- H1N1/09 'Swine Flu'
- Rate: 3.14E<sup>-3</sup> mutations/genomic site/year
- tMRCA: 2009.041 (15-Jan-2009)
- Correlation: 0.83
- R<sup>2</sup>: 0.69













### Clock versus non-clock

- unconstrained (unrooted) Felsenstein model: Felsenstein (1981) *JME*, **17**: 368 - 376
  - each branch has its own rate independent of all others
  - time and rate are confounded and can only be estimated as a compound parameter (branch lengths)
- strict molecular clock: Zuckerkandl & Pauling (1962) in Horizons in Biochemistry, pp. 189–225
  - all lineages evolve at the same rate
  - allows the estimation of the root of the tree and dates of individual nodes

## Need for a relaxed molecular clock

- the unrooted model of phylogeny and the strict molecular clock model are two extremes of a continuum.
- dominate phylogenetic inference
- but both are biologically unrealistic:
  - the real evolutionary process lies between these two extremes
  - model misspecification can produce positively misleading results

































# Bayesian model testing

- Goal: finding the most appropriate model for your data
- Over-fitting: too many parameters, the model is too complex
- Under-fitting: too few parameters, the model is too simple
- Don't compare all possible model combinations (evolutionary model, clock models, coalescent tree prior, ...) to one another!
- Test/compare those models if that is part of the hypothesis your testing, or if your hypothesis test is sensitive to the model choice

#### Model testing using Bayes factors

• A Bayesian alternative to classical hypothesis testing: the Bayes factor (a summary of the evidence provided by the data in favor of one scientific theory, represented by a statistical model, as opposed to another; Kass & Raftery, 1995).

• Bayes factor  $B_{01} = \frac{p(Y|M_1)}{p(Y|M_0)}$ 

- When two models  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  are being compared, one defines the Bayes factor in favor of  $M_1$  over  $M_0$  as the ratio of their respective marginal likelihoods
- When there are unknown parameters, the Bayes Factor  $B_{01}$  has in a sense the form of a likelihood ratio















 combined with a "snorter" path to be traversed, this leads to a drastic performance increase (dependent on the actual reference/working prior)

## Bayesian model selection vs model averaging

• Test/compare those models if that is part of the hypothesis your testing, or if your hypothesis test is sensitive to the model choice

*Model selection* refers to the problem of using the data to select one model from the list of candidate models

*Model averaging* refers to the process of estimating some quantity under each model and then averaging the estimates according to how likely each model is.



#### Random local clocks

Using Bayesian stochastic search variable selection: formulate a prior that such that many rate changes (indicators) are 0 but allow the data to determine which ones are required to explain (most of the) rate variation using MCMC



































