# MCMC 2: Lecture 2 SIR models (1)

Phil O'Neill Theo Kypraios School of Mathematical Sciences University of Nottingham

#### Contents

- 1. The modelling process
- 2. Gamma distribution example
- 3. General (Markov) SIR epidemic model

#### Contents

- 1. <u>The modelling process</u>
- 2. Gamma distribution example
- 3. General (Markov) SIR epidemic model

The general procedure we use is as follows.

- We have some observed data y
- We formulate a model with parameters θ
- In a Bayesian framework, we are interested in the posterior density  $\pi(\theta \mid y)$

- By Bayes' Theorem, we have π (θ | y ) ∝ π (y | θ) π(θ) posterior ∝ likelihood × prior
- π(θ | y) is usually not known explicitly (i.e. we typically only know it up to proportionality), so we then use an MCMC algorithm to get samples from π (θ | y)

Typically,  $\theta$  is multi-dimensional. If, say,  $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ , then we usually need a way of updating each  $\theta_k$ . If the full conditional density  $\pi (\theta_k \mid \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{k-1}, \theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n, y)$ is known explicitly\* then we can use it to perform the update for  $\theta_k$  ("Gibbs step")

\* i.e. not just up to proportionality

 Conversely, if the full conditional density is not known explicitly then we can update θ<sub>k</sub> using a Metropolis-Hastings step.

What if the likelihood  $\pi$  (y |  $\theta$ ) is unknown? (Meaning – hard/complicated to compute) Two possible solutions are

- Data augmentation: introduce extra quantities x such that  $\pi$  (x, y |  $\theta$ ) is tractable
- Give up on MCMC and do something else... (e.g. Approximate Bayesian Computation = ABC)

#### Contents

- 1. The modelling process
- 2. <u>Gamma distribution example</u>
- 3. General (Markov) SIR epidemic model

#### <u>Example</u>

Suppose we have data on incubation periods

$$\mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{y}_n)$$

and we wish to fit a Gamma distribution to these data.

$$y_1 = 2, y_2 = 2, y_3 = 3, ..., y_{23} = 7$$

(Campylobacter data from Evans et al. 1996)

The gamma distribution has probability density function

f(x | α, β) = 
$$\beta^{\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta x) / \Gamma(\alpha)$$

(where x > 0,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ).

Assuming the data are independent draws from this distribution, the likelihood is

 $\pi(y \mid \alpha, \beta)$ 

= f (y<sub>1</sub> |  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) f (y<sub>2</sub> |  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) ... f (y<sub>n</sub> |  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ )

The likelihood simplifies to  $\pi(y \mid \alpha, \beta)$ =  $\beta^{n\alpha} \prod_{k} y_{k}^{\alpha-1} \exp(-\beta \sum y_{k}) / {\Gamma(\alpha)}^{n}$ 

We assign independent priors as

$$\alpha \sim \text{Gamma}(\lambda_{\alpha}, v_{\alpha})$$

$$\beta \sim \text{Gamma}(\lambda_{\beta}, v_{\beta})$$

So

 $\pi (\alpha) = f(\alpha \mid \lambda_{\alpha} , v_{\alpha}) \text{ and } \pi (\beta) = f(\beta \mid \lambda_{\beta} , v_{\beta})$ 

# The posterior density of interest is $\pi (\alpha, \beta | y) \propto \pi (y | \alpha, \beta) \pi(\alpha) \pi(\beta)$

So to define an MCMC algorithm to sample from this target density we need a way to update  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

One option is to update them separately.

For separate updates:

Find the full conditional densities  $\pi(\alpha \mid \beta, y)$  and  $\pi(\beta \mid \alpha, y)$ 

In this case (see lab exercise) we find that (i)  $\pi(\alpha \mid \beta, y)$  is only known up to proportionality;

(ii)  $\pi(\beta \mid \alpha, y)$  is the density of a Gamma distribution

#### Therefore we

(i) update  $\alpha$  using a Metropolis-Hastings step, i.e. we propose a new value  $\alpha^*$  from a proposal density q( $\alpha^* \mid \alpha$ ) and accept  $\alpha^*$  with probability min(p,1) where

$$p = \frac{\pi(y \mid \alpha^*, \beta) \pi(\alpha^*) q (\alpha \mid \alpha^*)}{\pi(y \mid \alpha) \pi(y \mid \alpha)}$$

 $\pi(y \mid \alpha, \beta) \pi(\alpha) q (\alpha^* \mid \alpha)$ 

- The choice of  $q(\alpha^* \mid \alpha)$  is fairly arbitrary; possible options include
- Propose α\* ~ N(α, σ<sup>2</sup>)
   (Gaussian random walk)
- Propose α\* ~ Gamma(a,b)

   (independence sampler needs a good choice of a and b to work well)

(ii) update  $\beta$  using a Gamma distribution (see lab exercise for details!)

#### Block updating

An alternative to separate updates for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is to update them simultaneously in a "block". In this case we could do this using M-H, e.g. propose ( $\alpha^*$ ,  $\beta^*$ ) from q ( $\alpha^*$ ,  $\beta^*$ |  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ) and then accept/reject accordingly.

One reason to do this is if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are strongly correlated, i.e. it is hard to move one without the other.

#### Contents

- 1. The modelling process
- 2. Gamma distribution example
- 3. <u>General (Markov) SIR epidemic model</u>

- Population of N individuals
- At time t there are:
  - S(t) susceptibles
  - I(t) infectives
  - R(t) recovered / immune individuals
  - Thus S(t)+I(t)+R(t) = N for all t.
  - Initially (S(0),I(0),R(0)) = (N-1,1,0).

- Each infectious individual remains so for a length of time T<sub>I</sub> ~ Exp(γ).
- During this time, infectious contacts occur with each susceptible according to a Poisson process of rate β / N.
- Thus overall infection rate is βS(t)I(t) / N.
- **Two model parameters**,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ .

- We call this model the "Markov SIR model".
- This is because the process

#### $\{(S(t), I(t)): t \ge 0\}$

is a bivariate Markov chain. This follows from the fact that the infectious periods are exponentially distributed.

If infectious periods are not exponential we have a "non-Markov" SIR model.

Suppose we observe n removals at times

 $\mathbf{r}_1 \leq \mathbf{r}_2 \leq \mathbf{r}_3 \leq \ldots \leq \mathbf{r}_n.$ 

The inference problem is to estimate the model parameters β and γ.

 In a Bayesian setting, this means we need to find (or sample from) the posterior density π(β, γ | r<sub>1</sub>, r<sub>2</sub>, ..., r<sub>n</sub>)

However, the likelihood

$$\pi(r_1, r_2, ..., r_n | \beta, \gamma)$$

is very hard to compute.

A solution (as discussed in MCMC I) is to introduce infection times as extra variables to give a tractable augmented likelihood.

Augmented Likelihood

- Let b be the label of the last removal time, i.e. r<sub>b</sub> ≥ r<sub>k</sub> for all k = 1, ..., n.
- Given removal data, b is observed and fixed for any given labelling.
- Define a as the label of the first infection time, i.e. i<sub>a</sub> < i<sub>k</sub> for all k ≠ a.
- Given removal data, a is unknown.

- Define r = (r<sub>1</sub>, r<sub>2</sub>, ..., r<sub>n</sub>)
- Define i = (i<sub>1</sub>, i<sub>2</sub>, ..., i<sub>a-1</sub>, i<sub>a+1</sub>, ..., i<sub>n</sub>)
   Let

$$f(x | \gamma) = \gamma \exp(-\gamma x) \qquad (x > 0)$$

denote the probability density function of the infectious period distribution  $(Exp(\gamma))$ .

#### ■ The augmented likelihood is $\pi(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{r} \mid \beta, \gamma, \mathbf{i}_a, \mathbf{a}) =$ $\prod_{j \neq a} \beta N^{-1} I(\mathbf{i}_j) \times \exp(-\beta N^{-1} \int S(t)I(t) dt)$ $\times \prod_{1 \leq j \leq n} f(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{i}_j \mid \gamma)$

 $= \prod_{j \neq a} \beta N^{-1} I(i_j -) \times \exp(-\beta N^{-1} \int S(t)I(t) dt ) \\ \times \gamma^n \exp\{-\gamma \sum (r_j - i_j) \}$ 

where I(t-) means I(t) just before time t

# The target posterior density is π(β, γ, i, i<sub>a</sub>, a | r) ∞ π(i, r | β, γ, i<sub>a</sub>, a ) π(β, γ, i<sub>a</sub>, a )

Set independent priors as

$$\beta \sim \text{Gamma}(m_{\beta}, \lambda_{\beta})$$

$$\gamma \sim Gamma(m_{\gamma}, \lambda_{\gamma})$$

- a ~ uniform on  $\{1, ..., n\}$
- $i_a \sim uniform on (-\infty, r_1)$

- So an MCMC algorithm that targets the posterior density needs ways of updating the parameters β, γ, i, i<sub>a</sub> and a.
- In each case, we can first find the full conditional distribution to see if we get a standard distribution. If so, we can update using that distribution. If not, we need a Metropolis-Hastings step.

- To update β: first find the full conditional density π(β | γ, i, i<sub>a</sub>, a, r).
- We do this by finding all the terms involving
   β in the posterior (=likelihood × prior)
- We find:
  - $\beta^{n} \exp(-\beta N^{-1} \int S(t)I(t) dt ) \beta^{m_{\beta}-1} \exp(-\beta \lambda_{\beta})$ 
    - $= \beta^{n+m_{\beta}-1} \exp\{-\beta (N^{-1} \int S(t)I(t) dt + \lambda_{\beta})\}$
- Thus β | ...
  - ~ Gamma (m<sub> $\beta$ </sub> + n -1,  $\lambda_{\beta}$  + N<sup>-1</sup>  $\int$  S(t)I(t) dt )

- So to update  $\beta$  we just need to sample from Gamma (m<sub> $\beta$ </sub> + n -1,  $\lambda_{\beta}$  + N<sup>-1</sup>  $\int$  S(t)I(t) dt )
- Only problem is: how to evaluate the integral? (We will address this shortly...)
- Sampling directly from the full conditional distribution is often called a "Gibbs step"

- Going through the same steps for γ we find the full conditional distribution
  - $\gamma \mid ... \sim Gamma (m_{\gamma} + n, \lambda_{\gamma} + \sum (r_j i_j))$ and so we can update  $\gamma$  using this distribution.

- For the infection times, the full conditional distribution turns out to be non-standard.
- We therefore require a Metropolis-Hastings step. One option is to update infection times one-at-a-time, either in order or at random.
- For instance, we might update 10% of the infection times in between each update of β and γ.

- So for infection time i<sub>k</sub>, we propose a new time using proposal density q(i<sub>k</sub>\* | i<sub>k</sub>)
- Accept with probability min(p,1) where

$$p = \pi(\mathbf{i^*}, \mathbf{r} \mid \beta, \gamma, \mathbf{i}_{a^*}, a^*) q(\mathbf{i}_k \mid \mathbf{i}_k^*)$$
$$\pi(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{r} \mid \beta, \gamma, \mathbf{i}_a, a) q(\mathbf{i}_k^* \mid \mathbf{i}_k)$$

- Note that if i<sub>k</sub>\* < i<sub>a</sub> then a\*=k, otherwise a is unchanged.
- Note also we need to be able to evaluate π(i, r | β, γ, i<sub>a</sub>, a)

- Choices for q(i<sup>\*</sup><sub>k</sub> | i<sup>k</sup>) could include
- (i) Propose  $i_k^* = r_k Exp(\gamma)$ (ii) Propose  $i_k^* = r_k - Exp(\mu)$ , where  $\mu$  is fixed throughout
- (iii) Propose  $i_k^* \sim N(i_k, \sigma^2)$

- Evaluating the likelihood
- π(**i, r** | β, γ, i<sub>a</sub>, a )
  - $= \prod_{j \neq a} \beta N^{-1} I(i_j -) \times \exp(-\beta N^{-1} \int S(t)I(t) dt) \\ \times \gamma^n \exp\{-\gamma \sum (r_j i_j)\}$
- The parts that are not straightforward to evaluate are the product term and the integral term.

#### Product term:

 $\prod_{j\neq a}\beta N^{-1} I(i_j-) = (\beta N^{-1})^{n-1} \prod_{j\neq a} I(i_j-)$ 

- No easy way to simplify further
- Need to write code to evaluate number of infectives at each infection event

#### Integral term

- Here, "a  $\land$  b" denotes "minimum of a,b".

- Also  $i_j = \infty$  for j > n, i.e. for those individuals never infected.
- Formula is easy to code.

#### Integral term

Explanation:

$$\begin{split} S(t) &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \mathbf{1}_{\{j \text{ is susceptible at time }t\}} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j \leq N} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_j > t\}} \end{split}$$

where  $1_A = 1$  if event A occurs = 0 otherwise

#### Similarly,

$$I(t) = \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_k \le t \le r_k\}}$$

$$\int S(t)I(t) dt = \int \sum_{1 \le j \le N} \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_k < t < r_k\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{i_j > t\}} dt$$
$$= \sum_{1 \le j \le N} \sum_{1 \le k \le n} \int \mathbf{1}_{\{i_k < t < r_k \text{ and } i_j > t\}} dt$$

Total time that j susceptible, k infective =  $(r_k \wedge i_i) - (i_k \wedge i_i)$ 

Summary: MCMC algorithm updates

- Update β and γ using their full conditional distributions (Gamma, in this case)
- Update infection times using a Metropolis-Hastings step. We might typically update 10% of the infection times in between each update of β and γ.

- Summary: MCMC algorithm
- Initialise  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ , **i**,  $i_a$ , a

Loop:

Update  $\beta$ Update  $\gamma$ Update some of the infection times Record current values of  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ 

Summary: MCMC algorithm

The output is a sequence

 $(\beta_1, \gamma_1), (\beta_2, \gamma_2), ..., (\beta_M, \gamma_M)$ 

where M is number of iterations in loop.

Note that we don't usually record **i**, i<sub>a</sub> and a since they are not our main focus, and also **i** is typically high-dimensional so costly to store.