

**Please complete post-survey on SISG web site:**

**<https://si.biostat.washington.edu/suminst/sisg2020/modules/SM2013>**

# QUANTITATIVE TRAITS

## Genetic Model for Trait

Suppose gene  $\mathbf{T}$  affects a trait: its genotype may affect the probability an individual has a disease or its genotype may affect the value of some measurable quantity. There may be other genes also affecting the trait, and there may be non-genetic effects.

Now suppose  $G$  is the genotypic effect of  $\mathbf{T}$  on the trait and  $E$  is the environmental effect (or all other effects). An individual is observed to have phenotypic (trait) value (disease status or measured value)  $Y$  and a simple linear model is

$$Y = G + E$$

The mean environmental effect is taken to be zero, so the mean phenotypic effect is equal to the mean genotypic value.

## Genetic Model for Trait

“If we could replicate a particular genotype in a number of individuals and measure them under environmental conditions normal for the population, their mean environmental deviations would be zero, and their mean phenotypic value would consequently be equal to the genotypic value of that particular genotype. This is the meaning of the genotypic value of an individual.”

Falconer DS. 1960. Introduction to Quantitative Genetics. Ronald Press, New York. p. 113

## Genetic Model for Trait

Extensions to this model can include  $G \times E$  interaction, but at present  $G$  and  $E$  will be considered independent and their variances sum to the variance of  $Y$ :

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \text{Var}(G) + \text{Var}(E) \quad \text{or} \quad \sigma_Y^2 = \sigma_G^2 + \sigma_E^2$$

In general, the number of alleles for gene  $\mathbf{T}$  is not known, but a convenient start is to suppose there are two: an ancestral form and a more recent form that may increase the chance of being affected or lead to detrimental values of a measured trait. Write the two alleles as  $T, t$  and the three genotypes as  $TT, Tt, tt$ . The three genotypic values are  $G_{TT}, G_{Tt}, G_{tt}$ .

## Additive and Dominance Variance

In a population there is a mean genotypic effect,  $\mu_G$ , and a variance of genotypic effects,  $\sigma_G^2$ :

$$\mu_G = \pi_T^2 G_{TT} + 2\pi_T\pi_t G_{Tt} + \pi_t^2 G_{tt}$$

$$\sigma_G^2 = \pi_T^2 (G_{TT} - \mu_G)^2 + 2\pi_T\pi_t (G_{Tt} - \mu_G)^2 + \pi_t^2 (G_{tt} - \mu_G)^2$$

and the variance can be partitioned into additive and dominance components:

$$\sigma_G^2 = \sigma_{A_T}^2 + \sigma_{D_T}^2$$

$$\sigma_{A_T}^2 = 2\pi_T\pi_t [\pi_T (G_{TT} - G_{Tt}) + \pi_t (G_{Tt} - G_{tt})]^2$$

$$\sigma_{D_T}^2 = \pi_T^2 \pi_t^2 (G_{TT} - 2G_{Tt} + G_{tt})^2$$

## Additive Traits

If the genetic value of trait heterozygotes is the average of the values of the two trait homozygotes,  $G_{Tt} = (G_{TT} + G_{tt})/2$  then

$$\sigma_{A_T}^2 = 2\pi_T\pi_t(G_{Tt} - G_{tt})^2$$

$$\sigma_{D_T}^2 = 0$$

and the genetic variance is entirely additive.

If the population has only one of the two trait alleles,  $\pi_T\pi_t = 0$  and there is no genetic variance. Otherwise, additive genetic variance is maximized when the two trait alleles are equally frequent.

# Heritability

A convenient single parameter to describe the trait genetic variance *in a particular population* is the heritability  $h^2$  defined as

$$h^2 = \frac{\sigma_{A_T}^2}{\sigma_Y^2}$$

or the proportion of phenotypic (trait) variance due to additive allelic effects.

The phenotypic variance, the genetic variance and the additive and dominance variance components all depend on trait genotypic (or allele) frequencies and so are different in different populations.

The genotypic effects  $G$  are not known but the variance components and heritability can be estimated.



## Trait Mean in Inbred Populations

Finding the mean and variance for quantitative traits in populations where there is inbreeding and/or relatedness and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium does not hold, requires modification of genotype probabilities. For inbred populations, the mean trait value requires the inbreeding coefficient. For a random member of a population inbred to an extent  $F$  (relative to a reference population), the genotype probabilities are

$$\begin{aligned}P_{TT} &= \pi_T^2 + F\pi_T\pi_t \\P_{Tt} &= 2\pi_T\pi_t(1 - F) \\P_{tt} &= \pi_t^2 + F\pi_T\pi_t\end{aligned}$$

## Trait Mean in Inbred Populations

The expected trait value  $\mu_F$  in an inbred population is

$$\mu_F = \mu_0 + FH$$

where  $\mu_0$  is the value in a HWE population ( $F = 0$ ) and  $H = \pi_T\pi_t(G_{TT} - 2G_{Tt} + G_{tt})$  is a measure of dominance.

# Inbreeding Depression

Recent paper by Clark DW, et al. 2019. Nature Communications. Published October 31, 2019:

From data on 1.4 million individuals, e.g. “ $F_{ROH}$  equivalent to the offspring of first cousins is associated with a 55% decrease in the odds of having children.”

Note the need for estimation of individual inbreeding coefficients.

## Clark et al., 2019

Nearly one billion people live in populations where consanguineous marriages are common.

Burden of disease thought to be disproportionately due to increased homozygosity of rare, recessive variants.

The fraction of each autosomal genome in  $ROH > 1.5$  Mb correlates well with pedigree-based estimates of inbreeding.

# Genetic Variance and Covariance in Inbred Populations

For individual  $U$ , the genetic variance for an additive trait is

$$\sigma_{G_U}^2 = (1 + F_U)\sigma_A^2$$

For individuals  $U, V$ , the genetic covariance for an additive trait is

$$\text{Cov}_{G_{UV}} = 2\theta_{UV}\sigma_A^2$$

regardless of inbreeding.

# Total Variances and Covariances for Additive Trait

Trait values have both genetic and environmental components. The simplest model of  $Y = G + E$  leads to the variance of trait values  $Y$  among individuals  $U$  in a non-inbred population of unrelated individuals:

$$\text{Var}(Y_U) = \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2$$

This is also referred to as the phenotypic variance  $\sigma_P^2$ .

For an additive trait and for individuals that have no shared environment, the variance-covariance matrix for a sample of related and inbred individuals has elements

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(Y_U) &= (1 + F_U)\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2 \\ \text{Cov}(Y_U, Y_V) &= 2\theta_{UV}\sigma_A^2\end{aligned}$$

# Genetic Relationship Matrix

Vector  $\mathbf{Y}$  of trait values for individuals  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  has GRM

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 + F_1) & 2\theta_{12} & \dots & 2\theta_{1n} \\ 2\theta_{21} & (1 + F_2) & \dots & 2\theta_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 2\theta_{n1} & 2\theta_{n2} & \dots & (1 + F_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

The trace of this matrix is

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^n G_{ii} = n(1 + F_W)$$

and the sum of the off-diagonal elements is

$$\Sigma_G = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n G_{ij} = n(n - 1)\theta_S$$

to define the average inbreeding and kinship values  $F_W, \theta_S$  for the sample.

# GRM

Historically, the GRM was obtained from known pedigrees, particularly for experimental populations of plants and animals.

Beginning with [Yu J, et al. 2006. Nature Genetics 38:203](#) it has been recognized that pedigree information may not be available, it may not be accurate, and it can be different from the “gold standard” GRM.

Instead, the GRM may be constructed with estimated inbreeding and kinship coefficients.



# Heritability

For an additive trait, heritability in a HWE population is

$$h^2 = \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2}$$

Estimation of  $h^2$  therefore requires estimation of  $\sigma_A^2$  and  $\sigma_E^2$ . There are likelihood-based methods for doing that, assuming the trait values are normally distributed.

These notes follow a discussion given by [Speed D, et al. 2012. Am J Hum Genet 91:1011](#)

## Speed et al. 2012

Instead of having replicates of the history of a single individual, use the trait values for a sample of individuals in some population. Speed et al. used  $\hat{V}_T$  for the sample variance of trait values:

$$\hat{V}_T = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

and  $\hat{V}_R$  for the residual variance once the genotypic effects have been fitted:

$$\hat{V}_R = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_i (E_i - \bar{E})^2$$

As an estimate of heritability, Speed et al. combined these two sample variances

$$\hat{h}^2 = \frac{\hat{V}_T - \hat{V}_R}{\hat{V}_T}$$

## Speed et al. 2012

It can be shown that

$$\mathcal{E}(\widehat{V}_T) = \frac{1}{n} \left[ \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}) - \frac{1}{n-1} \Sigma \mathbf{G} \right] \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\widehat{V}_R) = \sigma_E^2$$

so that

$$\mathcal{E}(\widehat{h}^2) = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \left[ \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}) - \frac{1}{n-1} \Sigma \mathbf{G} \right] \sigma_A^2}{\frac{1}{n} \left[ \text{tr}(\mathbf{G}) - \frac{1}{n-1} \Sigma \mathbf{G} \right] \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2}$$

and this has a parametric value of

$$\mathcal{E}(\widehat{h}^2) = \frac{(1 + F_W - 2\theta_S) \sigma_A^2}{(1 + F_W - 2\theta_S) \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2}$$

## Expectation of $\widehat{h^2}$

In the case of no identity by descent within or between individuals,  $F_W = \theta_S = 0$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}(\widehat{h^2}) = \frac{\sigma_A^2}{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2} = h^2$$

In general, however, the expectation of  $\widehat{h^2}$  is

$$\mathcal{E}(\widehat{h^2}) = \frac{(1 + F_W - 2\theta_S)\sigma_A^2}{(1 + F_W - 2\theta_S)(1 + f)\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2} = \frac{(1 - \theta_S)(1 + f)\sigma_A^2}{(1 - \theta_S)(1 + f)\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2}$$

where  $f = (F_W - \theta_S)/(1 - \theta_S)$ . We are not aware that this expression has been presented before, although

$$\mathcal{E}(\widehat{h^2}) = \frac{(1 + F)\sigma_A^2}{(1 + F)\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2}$$

is often given as though the study population has inbreeding but no kinship:  $F = f, \theta_S = 0$ . It does not seem likely that a natural population could consist of inbred but unrelated individuals.

## Expectation of $\widehat{h^2}$

For a population in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium,  $F_W = \theta_S$ ,  $f = 0$ :

$$\mathcal{E}(\widehat{h^2}) = \frac{(1 - \theta_S)\sigma_A^2}{(1 - \theta_S)\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2}$$

and then  $\widehat{h^2}$  will be close to unbiased if  $\theta_S$  is low.

## Use of Estimated GRM

The Speed et al. estimate uses two sample variances, and does not make explicit use of the GRM. Likelihood-based methods do use the GRM. As the parametric values  $F_i, \theta_{ij}$  are not generally known,  $G$  is replaced by a matrix  $K$  of estimates. What is the resulting heritability estimate then estimating?

## Use of Allele-sharing GRM

Can estimate half the GRM with  $\hat{K}_{as}$  having elements  $\{\hat{\beta}_{ij}\}$ . If  $\tilde{M}_{ij}$  is the allelic matching proportion, averaged over SNPs, for individuals  $i$  and  $j$  including  $i = j$ , the  $\beta$  estimates are

$$\hat{\beta}_{ij} = \frac{\tilde{M}_{ij} - \tilde{M}_S}{1 - \tilde{M}_S}$$

where  $\tilde{M}_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^L [1 + (X_{il} - 1)(X_{jl} - 1)] / (2L)$  for allelic dosages  $X_{il}$  and  $\tilde{M}_S = \sum_{i \neq j} \tilde{M}_{ij} / [n(n - 1)]$ . These estimates have expected values

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{\beta}_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(1 + F_i) - \theta_S}{1 - \theta_S} & i = j \\ \frac{\theta_{ij} - \theta_S}{1 - \theta_S} & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

## Use of Allele-sharing GRM

As  $\sum \hat{K}_{as} = 0$  by construction, the expectation of the estimated heritability is

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{h}^2) = \frac{\mathcal{E}[\frac{2}{n}\text{tr}(\hat{K}_{as})\hat{\sigma}_A^2]}{\mathcal{E}[\frac{2}{n}\text{tr}(\hat{K}_{as})\hat{\sigma}_A^2 + \hat{\sigma}_E^2]}$$

From the expected values of  $\hat{\beta}_{ij}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}[\text{tr}(\hat{K}_{as})] = n(1 + f)/2$  is assumed known and replaces  $\hat{K}_{as}$ , leading to

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{h}^2) = \frac{(1 + f)\sigma_A^2}{(1 + f)\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2}$$

This replaces  $F$  in the classical result with  $f$ , reflecting that is  $f$  and not  $F$  that can be estimated with data from a single population.



## Use of GCTA GRM

Can also estimate half the GRM with  $\hat{K}_c(0)$  having elements  $\{\hat{k}_{ij}\}$ :

$$\hat{k}_{ij} = \frac{\sum_l (X_{il} - 2\tilde{p}_l)(X_{jl} - 2\tilde{p}_l)}{\sum_l 4\tilde{p}_l(1 - \tilde{p}_l)}$$

Now all the elements of the GRM sum to zero by construction. In other words  $\text{tr}[\hat{K}_c(0)] + \sum \hat{K}_c(0) = 0$  and the estimated heritability is

$$\hat{h}^2 = \frac{\frac{2}{n-1} \text{tr}[\hat{K}_c(0)] \hat{\sigma}_A^2}{\frac{2}{n-1} \text{tr}[\hat{K}_c(0)] \hat{\sigma}_A^2 + \hat{\sigma}_E^2}$$

## Use of GCTA GRM

Since

$$\mathcal{E}(\hat{k}_{ii}) = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{F_i - 2\psi_i + \theta_S}{1 - \theta_S} \right), \quad \psi_i = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{j \neq i} \theta_{ij}, \quad \theta_S = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \psi_i$$

$$\mathcal{E}[\text{tr}[\hat{K}_c(0)]] = \frac{n}{2}(1 + f)$$

and, regarding this as a constant, the expected value of the estimated heritability is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\hat{h}^2) &= \frac{\frac{n}{n-1}(1+f)\sigma_A^2}{\frac{n}{n-1}(1+f)\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2} \\ &\approx \frac{(1+f)\sigma_A^2}{(1+f)\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_E^2} \end{aligned}$$

as for the  $\beta$  estimate.

Very different GRMs give the same estimates of heritability.