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## Multidimensional scaling

## Objective

On the basis of information regarding the distances (or similarities) of $n$ objects, construct a configuration of $n$ points in a low-dimensional space (a map).

## Classical application: inter-city distances (28 US cities)

|  | Birmingham | Boston | Buffalo | Chicago | Cleveland | Dallas | Denver | Detroit | ElPaso | Houston | Indianapolis | KansasCity | . | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Birmingham | 0 | 1194 | 947 | 657 | 734 | 653 | 1318 | 754 | 1278 | 692 | 492 | 703 | - |  |
| Boston | 1194 | 0 | 457 | 983 | 639 | 1815 | 1991 | 702 | 2358 | 1886 | 940 | 1427 | - |  |
| Buffalo | 947 | 457 | 0 | 536 | 192 | 1387 | 1561 | 252 | 1928 | 1532 | 510 | 997 | - | - |
| Chicago | 657 | 983 | 536 | 0 | 344 | 931 | 1050 | 279 | 1439 | 1092 | 189 | 503 | $\cdots$ | - |
| Cleveland | 734 | 639 | 192 | 344 | 0 | 1205 | 1369 | 175 | 1746 | 1358 | 318 | 815 | - | . |
| Dallas | 653 | 1815 | 1387 | 931 | 1205 | 0 | 801 | 1167 | 625 | 242 | 877 | 508 | - | - |
| Denver | 1318 | 1991 | 1561 | 1050 | 1369 | 801 | 0 | 1310 | 652 | 1032 | 1051 | 616 | - |  |
| Detroit | 754 | 702 | 252 | 279 | 175 | 1167 | 1301 | 0 | 1696 | 1312 | 290 | 760 | . | . |
| EIPaso | 1278 | 2358 | 1928 | 1439 | 1746 | 625 | 652 | 1696 | 0 | 756 | 1418 | 936 | - | . |
| Houston | 692 | 1886 | 1532 | 1092 | 1358 | 242 | 1032 | 1312 | 756 | 0 | 1022 | 750 | $\cdots$ |  |
| Indianapolis | 492 | 940 | 510 | 189 | 318 | 877 | 1051 | 290 | 1418 | 1022 | 0 | 487 | - | . |
| KansasCity | 703 | 1427 | 997 | 503 | 815 | 508 | 616 | 760 | 936 | 750 | 487 | 0 | - |  |
| LosAngeles | 2078 | 3036 | 2606 | 2112 | 2424 | 1425 | 1174 | 2369 | 800 | 1556 | 2096 | 1609 | - | . |
| Louisville | 378 | 996 | 571 | 305 | 379 | 865 | 1135 | 378 | 1443 | 981 | 114 | 519 | $\cdots$ |  |
| Memphis | 249 | 1345 | 965 | 546 | 773 | 470 | 1069 | 756 | 1095 | 586 | 466 | 454 | $\cdots$ |  |
| Miami | 777 | 1539 | 1445 | 1390 | 1325 | 1332 | 2094 | 1409 | 1957 | 1237 | 1225 | 1479 | - | - |
| Minneapolis | 1067 | 1402 | 955 | 411 | 763 | 969 | 867 | 698 | 1353 | 1211 | 600 | 466 | - |  |
| NewOrleans | 347 | 1541 | 1294 | 947 | 1102 | 504 | 1305 | 1101 | 1121 | 365 | 839 | 839 | - | . |
| NewYork | 983 | 213 | 436 | 840 | 514 | 1604 | 1780 | 671 | 2147 | 1675 | 729 | 1216 | $\cdots$ | . |
| Omaha | 907 | 1458 | 1011 | 493 | 819 | 661 | 559 | 754 | 1015 | 903 | 590 | 204 | - | . |
| Philadelphia | 894 | 304 | 383 | 758 | 432 | 1515 | 1698 | 589 | 2065 | 1586 | 647 | 1134 | - | . |
| Phoenix | 1680 | 2664 | 2234 | 1729 | 2052 | 1027 | 836 | 1986 | 402 | 1158 | 1713 | 1226 | - | . |
| Pittsburgh | 792 | 597 | 219 | 457 | 131 | 1237 | 1411 | 288 | 1778 | 1395 | 360 | 847 | - |  |
| St.Louis | 508 | 1179 | 749 | 293 | 567 | 638 | 871 | 529 | 1179 | 799 | 239 | 255 | - |  |
| SaltLakeCity | 1805 | 2425 | 1978 | 1458 | 1786 | 1239 | 512 | 1721 | 877 | 1465 | 1545 | 1128 | $\cdots$ |  |
| SanFrancisco | 2385 | 3179 | 2732 | 2212 | 2540 | 1765 | 1266 | 2475 | 1202 | 1958 | 2299 | 1882 | - |  |
| Seattle | 2612 | 3043 | 2596 | 2052 | 2404 | 2122 | 1373 | 2339 | 1760 | 2348 | 2241 | 1909 | - |  |
| Washington | 751 | 440 | 386 | 695 | 369 | 1372 | 1635 | 526 | 1997 | 1443 | 565 | 1071 | - |  |



## Some basic terminology

Terminology

- proximity
- similarity ( $s_{r s}$ )
- dissimilarity or distance ( $d_{r s}$ )

A similarity measure satisfies:

- $s(A, B)=s(B, A)$
- $s(A, B)>0$
- $s(A, B)$ increases as the similarity between A and B increases

A distance measure, $\delta(A, B)$ satisfies:

- $\delta(A, B)=\delta(B, A)$
- $\delta(A, B) \geq 0$
- $\delta(A, A)=0$

The distance function $\delta(A, B)$ called a metric if also

- $\delta(A, B)=0$ iff $A=B$
- the triangle inequality holds: $\delta(A, B) \leq \delta(A, C)+\delta(C, B)$.


## Euclidean Distance



$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{r s}^{2} & =\left(x_{r 1}-x_{s 1}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{r 2}-x_{s 2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Generalizes to $p$ variables.

## Some dissimilarity measures (quantitative data)

- Euclidean distance:

$$
\delta_{r s}=\sqrt{\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(x_{r i}-x_{s i}\right)^{2}\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

- Mahalanobis distance:

$$
\delta_{r s}=\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)^{\prime} \mathbf{S}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

- Minkowski distance

$$
\delta_{r s}=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|x_{r i}-x_{s i}\right|^{\lambda}\right\}^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}
$$

## Metric versus Non-metric MDS

- In metric MDS, the configuration of points is directly obtained from the distances.
- In non-metric MDS, only the rank order of the distances is important.
- $d_{r s} \approx \delta_{r s}$ : Classical scaling.
- $d_{r s} \approx f\left(\delta_{r s}\right)$ with $f\left(\delta_{r s}\right)=\alpha+\beta \delta_{r s}$ : Metric scaling.
- $d_{r s} \approx f\left(\delta_{r s}\right)$ with $f\left(\delta_{r s}\right)$ arbitrary, monotone: Non-metric scaling.


## Metric versus Non-metric MDS

Metric MDS

|  | A | B | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| B | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| C | 10 | 9 | 0 |



Non-metric MDS


## Metric MDS

- Also known as: classical scaling, principal coordinate analysis (PCO).
- Given $n$ objects with dissimiliarities $\left(\delta_{r s}\right)$ find a set of points in Euclidean space such that $d_{r s} \approx \delta_{r s}$.
- Classical application: given a distance matrix (in km or in travel time) between cities, construct a map of the cities.


## Theory (1)

Let $\mathbf{X}$ be the matrix of coordinates with the solution.
$\mathbf{x}_{r}, \mathbf{x}_{s}$ two rows of $\mathbf{X}$.

$$
\delta_{r s}^{2}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)
$$

Let $\mathbf{B}$ be the inner product matrix with

$$
b_{r s}=\mathbf{x}_{r}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{s}
$$

Assume the solution to be centered at the origin:

$$
\sum_{r=1}^{n} x_{r i}=0
$$

Theory (2)

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{r s}^{2}=\mathbf{x}_{r}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{r}+\mathbf{x}_{s}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{s}-2 \mathbf{x}_{r}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{s} \\
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} d_{r s}^{2}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{r}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{r}+\mathbf{x}_{s}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{s} \\
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n} d_{r s}^{2}=\mathbf{x}_{r}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{r}+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{s}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{s} \\
\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n} d_{r s}^{2}=\frac{2}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{r}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{r}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Theory (3)

Let $b_{r s}=\mathbf{x}_{r}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{s}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{r s}^{2}-\mathbf{x}_{r}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{x}_{s}\right)$

$$
b_{r s}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(d_{r s}^{2}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n} d_{r s}^{2}-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} d_{r s}^{2}+\frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{s=1}^{n} d_{r s}^{2}\right)
$$

We define $a_{r s}=-\frac{1}{2} d_{r s}^{2}$ so that $b_{r s}=a_{r s}-a_{r}-a_{\cdot s}+a_{\text {. }}$ and build matrix $\mathbf{A}$

$$
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{H} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{H} \quad \mathbf{H}=\mathbf{I}-\frac{1}{n} \mathbf{1 1 ^ { \prime }}
$$

and

$$
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}
$$

We wish to approximate $\mathbf{B}$ in a low dimensional space.
We approximate the distance matrix indirectly, via de matrix of scalar products.

## Theory (4) Spectral Decomposition

Let $\mathbf{B}$ be any $n \times n$ symmetric matrix we want to approximate

$$
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{D}_{\lambda} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i} \mathbf{v}_{i}^{\prime}
$$

with $\mathbf{D}_{\lambda}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ and $\mathbf{V}=\left[\mathbf{v}_{i}, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_{n}\right]$

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{B}}=\mathbf{V}_{(, 1: k)} \mathbf{D}_{\lambda(1: k, 1: k)}\left(\mathbf{V}_{(, 1: k)}\right)^{\prime}
$$

gives the rank $k$ least squares approximation to $\mathbf{B}$

## Theory (5) Solution

$$
\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\prime}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{D}_{\lambda} \mathbf{V}^{\prime}
$$

The coordinates of the solution are obtained as:

$$
\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{D}_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Notes:

- There will always be at least one eigenvalue equal to zero.
- There is nesting of the solution.


## Algorithm for Classical Scaling

- Compute a distance or dissimilarity matrix.
- Compute $\left[a_{r s}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{r s}^{2}$
- Double center A to obtain B = HAH
- Compute eigenvalues and eigen vectors of $\mathbf{B}$
- Compute the solution as $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{D}_{\lambda}^{\frac{1}{2}}$


## Goodness of Fit

How well do we manage to approximate the distance matrix?

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{P} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{i}}
$$

If $\mathbf{B}$ is not positive semi-definite:

$$
\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{P} \lambda_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|} \quad \text { or } \quad \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{P} \lambda}{\sum_{\lambda_{i}>0} \lambda_{i}}
$$

## Eigenvalues for US cities

|  |  | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i}$ | $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i}\left\|/ \sum\right\| \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{i} \mid$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1 | 22191639.31 | 0.70 | Cum. |
| 2 | 5666889.03 | 0.18 | 0.70 |
| 3 | 631806.72 | 0.02 | 0.90 |
| 4 | 349072.27 | 0.01 | 0.92 |
| 5 | 320061.81 | 0.01 | 0.93 |
| 6 | 151990.13 | 0.00 | 0.93 |
| 7 | 135990.15 | 0.00 | 0.93 |
| 8 | 96223.40 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 9 | 41151.80 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 10 | 32504.38 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 11 | 25294.02 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 12 | 17104.35 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 13 | 11261.65 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 14 | 6491.34 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 16 | -3667.46 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 17 | -9367.48 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 18 | -15622.89 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 19 | -30669.91 | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| 20 | -43411.51 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| 21 | -44714.98 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| 22 | -63959.28 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| 23 | -84957.57 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| 24 | -91580.01 | 0.00 | 0.95 |
| 25 | -164686.47 | 0.01 | 0.96 |
| 26 | -193735.06 | 0.01 | 0.97 |
| 27 | -349548.74 | 0.01 | 0.98 |
| 28 | -731051.32 |  | 1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Diagnostic plot



## Euclidean Distance matrix

- Definition

A distance matrix $\mathbf{D}$ is called Euclidean if there exists a configuration of points in Euclidean space whose interpoint distances are given by $\mathbf{D}$. That is, for some $p$ there exists points $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n}$ such that $d_{r s}^{2}=\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)^{\prime}\left(\mathbf{x}_{r}-\mathbf{x}_{s}\right)$.

- Theorem

A distance matrix $\mathbf{D}$ is Euclidean if and only if $\mathbf{B}(=\mathbf{H A H}$, as previously defined) is positive semi definite.

## About plotting maps

For maps and biplots it should be set to 1

|  | Coordinate |  | Driving distances (km) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Latitude $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Longitude $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Zaragoza | Barcelona | Madrid |
| Zaragoza | 41.66 | -0.88 | 0.00 | 303.47 | 314.70 |
| Barcelona | 41.39 | 2.17 | 303.47 | 0.00 | 614.72 |
| Madrid | 40.42 | -3.70 | 314.70 | 614.72 | 0.00 |



## Non-metric MDS: objective function

- STRESS $=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{r \neq s}^{n}\left(f\left(\delta_{r s}\right)-d_{r s}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{r \neq s} d_{r s}^{2}}}$
- $\operatorname{stress}(\Delta, \hat{\mathbf{X}})=\min _{\text {all } \mathbf{X}} \operatorname{stress}(\Delta, \mathbf{X})$
- We minimize the objective function numerically, starting from an initial configuration.
- Goodness-of-fit:

| Stress | fit |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20 \%$ | poor fit |
| $10 \%$ | fair fit |
| $5 \%$ | good fit |
| $0 \%$ | perfect fit |

## Global and local minima; diagnostics

How do you know if the solution corresponds to a local or global minimum?

- Use different initial configurations
- Compare stress over $1,2,3, \ldots$ dimensional solutions

General diagnostics:

- Scatter plot of $\delta_{r s}$ versus $d_{r s}$
- Plot stress versus number of dimensions
- Degeneracy (many points with the same $d_{r s}$ )
- Compute residuals $\left(d_{r s}-f\left(\delta_{r s}\right)\right)$


## Non-metric MDS map of US cities



## MDS with genetic data

- There is a rich literature on how to measure genetic distance
- The allele sharing distance is an often used measure

| $i \backslash j$ | AA | AB | BB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AA | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| AB | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| BB | 0 | 1 | 2 |


| $i \backslash j$ | AA | AB | BB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AA | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| AB | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| BB | 2 | 1 | 0 |

- Let $x_{i j k}$ be the number of shared alleles of individual $i$ and $j$ for variant $k$
- $d_{i j k}=2-x_{i j k}$
- Often scaled by multiplying by $\frac{1}{2}$
- Typically averaged over $K$ genetic variants:

$$
d_{i j}=\frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} d_{i j k}
$$

- The so obtained $\mathbf{D}=\left[d_{i j}\right]$ is used as input for MDS.


## Genetic data of the 1000 Genomes project

|  | rs6078030 | rs552482647 | rs4814683 | rs6076506 | rs6139074 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | $\cdots$ |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |
| $\vdots$ | $:$ | . | . | $\vdots$ | $\ddots$ |  |


|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.00 | 0.43 | 0.44 | 0.47 | 0.43 | $\cdots$ |
| 2 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.48 | $\cdots$ |
| 3 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.46 | 0.44 | $\cdots$ |
| 4 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.45 | $\cdots$ |
| 5 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.00 | $\cdots$ |



We consider 310 individuals for 50,000 SNPs

## MDS with genetic data (CEU, JPT and YRI from the 1000 Genomes project)

## 1000 Genomes data;

CHR 20; 50.000 SNPs (MAF > 0.05)


## Goodness of fit

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Dim. | $\lambda$ | $\%$ | $\%$ Cum. |
| 1 | 8.3567 | 0.1816 | 0.1816 |
| 2 | 3.7353 | 0.0812 | 0.2628 |
| 3 | 0.6275 | 0.0136 | 0.2764 |
| 4 | 0.5274 | 0.0115 | 0.2879 |
| 5 | 0.4909 | 0.0107 | 0.2985 |
| 6 | 0.4675 | 0.0102 | 0.3087 |
| 7 | 0.4540 | 0.0099 | 0.3186 |
| 8 | 0.4493 | 0.0098 | 0.3283 |
| 9 | 0.4345 | 0.0094 | 0.3378 |
| 10 | 0.4211 | 0.0091 | 0.3469 |
|  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 260 | 0.0005 | 0.0000 | 0.9840 |
| 261 | 0.0001 | 0.0000 | 0.9840 |
| 262 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.9840 |
| 263 | -0.0008 | 0.0000 | 0.9841 |
| 264 | -0.0011 | 0.0000 | 0.9841 |
| 265 | -0.0017 | 0.0000 | 0.9841 |
|  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 306 | -0.0289 | 0.0006 | 0.9972 |
| 307 | -0.0297 | 0.0006 | 0.9979 |
| 308 | -0.0305 | 0.0007 | 0.9986 |
| 309 | -0.0321 | 0.0007 | 0.9993 |
| 310 | -0.0343 | 0.0007 | 1.0000 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Goodness of fit

R2 0.81


## Final notes

- In genetics, MDS is often used at an aggregate level.
- Some pairwise similarity or distance measure between populations is calculated (e.g. $F_{s t}$, distance measures calculated from allele frequencies, etc.).
- MDS applied to between-population distances.
- MDS maps made at an individual level typically show more variability, often revealing overlap between populations.
- MDS widely used for detecting the existence of population substructure.
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