## MCMC I Practical session: Chain binomial model I: Gibbs sampler

## Background

In this computer lab, we apply Gibbs sampling to incompletely observed data in a chain binomial model. The observations are based on outbreaks of measles in Rhode Island during the years 1929-1934 [1]. We restrict the analysis to families with 3 susceptible individuals at the onset of the outbreak. This example is based on references [1]-[4].

We assume that there is a single index case that introduces infection to the family. Thus, possible epidemic chains are $1,1 \rightarrow 1,1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1$ and $1 \rightarrow 2$. Denote the probability for a susceptible to escape infection when exposed to one infective in the family by $q$ (and $p=1-q$ ). The following table lists chain probabilities, with the actually observed frequencies of the size of epidemic:

| chain | prob. | frequency | observed frequency |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | $q^{2}$ | $n_{1}$ | 34 |
| $1 \rightarrow 1$ | $2 q^{2} p$ | $n_{11}$ | 25 |
| $1 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1$ | $2 q p^{2}$ | $n_{111}$ | not observed |
| $1 \rightarrow 2$ |  |  | $p^{2}$ |$n_{12} \quad$ not observed $\quad$.

In this exercise, we assume that frequencies $n_{111}$ and $n_{12}$ have not been observed. Only their $\operatorname{sum} N_{3}=n_{111}+n_{12}=275$ is known.

The estimation problem concerns the escape probability $q$, so that there is basically only one unknown parameter in the model. However, the fact that not all frequencies have been observed creates a computational problem that can be solved by Bayesian data augmentation and Gibbs sampling [2].

Marginal likelihood. The joint probability of the complete data ( $n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, n_{111}$ ) is proportional to a multinomial probability:

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, n_{111} \mid q\right) & =\left(q^{2}\right)^{n_{1}}\left(2 q^{2} p\right)^{n_{11}}\left(2 q p^{2}\right)^{n_{111}}\left(p^{2}\right)^{N_{3}-n_{111}} \\
& =\mathrm{constant} \times q^{2 n_{1}+2 n_{11}+n_{111}} p^{n_{11}+2 N_{3}} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The marginal likelihood $f\left(n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3} \mid q\right)$ would be obtained by summing up expressions (1) with $n_{111}$ running from 0 to $N_{3}$.

The Bayesian approach. Instead of using the marginal likelihood, we will treat frequency $n_{111}$ as a model unknown in addition to parameter $q$. The joint distribution of the observations
( $n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}$ ) and the model unknowns $\left(n_{111}, q\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, n_{111}, q\right)=f\left(n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, n_{111} \mid q\right) f(q) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term in is the complete data likelihood (see (1)), based on the augmented data (i.e. the data are augmented with the unknown frequency $n_{111}$ ).

The second term is the prior density of probability $q$. We choose a Beta prior for parameter $q$ : $q \sim \operatorname{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ so that $f(q) \propto q^{\alpha-1}(1-q)^{\beta-1}$. With the choice $\alpha=\beta=1$, this is uniform prior on $[0,1]$.

The joint posterior distribution of the model unknowns is $f\left(q, n_{111} \mid n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}\right)$.
Gibbs sampling. In the lecture we demonstrated that the joint posterior distribution of the model unknowns $n_{111}$ and $q$ can be investigated by Gibbs sampling. This means making a numerical sample from the posterior distribution by drawing samples of $n_{111}$ and $q$ in turn from their full conditional posterior distributions:

$$
f\left(q \mid n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, n_{111}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(n_{111} \mid n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, q\right) .
$$

These were found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \mid n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, n_{111} \sim \operatorname{Beta}\left(2 n_{1}+2 n_{11}+n_{111}+\alpha, n_{11}+2 N_{3}+\beta\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{111} \mid n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, q \sim \operatorname{Binomial}\left(N_{3}, 2 q /(2 q+1)\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Exercises

1. Gibbs sampling. The $R$ program (chainGibbs_reduced.R) contains a function chainGibbs (mcmc.size, $\alpha, \beta$ ) that draws samples from the joint posterior distribution of $q$ and $n_{111}$. The function has this particular data set "hardwired" within the program. Starting with the initial values $\left(q^{(1)}, n_{111}^{(1)}\right)=(0.5,275 *(2 * 0.5) /(2 * 0.5+1))$, it iterates between sampling

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{(i)} \mid n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, n_{111}^{(i-1)} \quad \text { and } \\
& n_{111}^{(i)} \mid n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}, q^{(i)}, i=2, \ldots, \text { mcmc.size. }
\end{aligned}
$$

This creates a sample $\left(q^{(i)}, n_{111}^{(i)}\right), i=1, \ldots, m c m c . s i z e$.
Your task is to complete the Gibbs sampler by programming the two lines of code that draw samples in turn from the full conditional distributions (3) and (4).

## 2. Writing a more general Gibbs sampler function

(a) You might like to write a function mychainGibbs( $\mathrm{n} 1, \mathrm{n} 11, \mathrm{~N} 3, \mathrm{mcmc} . \operatorname{size}, \alpha, \beta$ ) that allows you to do inference on other data sets with observed $\left(n_{1}, n_{11}, N_{3}\right)$.
(b) If you write such a function, try altering the value of $N_{3}$. How do larger and smaller values of $N_{3}$ alter the posterior distribution of $q$ ?
3. Sensitivity to the choice of prior. Assess how the choice of the prior distribution affects estimation of the escape probability. Use the $\operatorname{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ prior with different values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Note that both parameters can be given as input to the function chainGibbs (mcmc.size, $\alpha, \beta$ ) in chainGibbs.R.
4. Write your own Gibbs sampler: Alternatively to chainGibbs.R, you might like to try writing your own Gibbs sampler for the chain binomial problem. Assume you will run mcmc.size iterations.
(a) Reserve space for the mcmc.size-vector of $q$ and $n_{111}$ values.
(b) Initialize the model unknowns q[1] and n111[1] (round the n111[1])
(c) Enter the data n1, n11, N3
(d) Draw the MCMC samples 2:mcmc.size using the rbeta() and rbinom() functions

Posterior inferences. By discarding a number of "burn-in" samples, you can use the rest of the numerical sample to explore the posterior of escape probability $q$. It is enough to discard a few hundred first samples, say 500, in this simple model.
(a) Make a histogram of the samples 501:mcmc.size of q and n 111 .
(b) Use the summary () function to get summaries the samples 501:mcmc.size of $q$ and $n 111$.

Now you can convert the program to a function similar to the function in the file chainGibbs.R chainGibbs(mcmc.size, $\alpha, \beta$ ).
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